arXiv:1409.1312v1 [math.RT] 4 Sep 2014

A family of representations of the affine Lie superalgebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}_{m|n}}(\mathbb{C})$

Yongjie Wang[1], Hongjia Chen*[1], and Yun Gao[2]

[1]School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, China 230026

[2]Department of Mathematics and Statistics, York University, Toronto, M3J 1P3, Canada

September 5, 2014

Abstract

In this paper, we used the free fields of Wakimoto to construct a class of irreducible representations for the general linear Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{gl}_{m|n}(\mathbb{C})$. The structures of the representations over the general linear Lie superalgebra and the special linear Lie superalgebra are studied in this paper. Then we extend the construction to the affine Kac-Moody Lie superalgebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}_{m|n}}(\mathbb{C})$ on the tensor product of a polynomial algebra and an exterior algebra with infinitely many variables involving one parameter μ, and we also obtain the necessary and sufficient condition for the representations to be irreducible. In fact, the representation is irreducible if and only if the parameter μ is nonzero.

Keywords: affine Lie superalgebra; irreducible representation; free fields of Wakimoto; polynomial algebra; exterior algebra.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2010: 17B10, 17B67.

1 Introduction

The theory of Lie superalgebras and their representations plays a fundamental role in the understanding and exploitation of supersymmetry in physical systems. The classification of the simple complex finite-dimensional Lie superalgebras was completed by Kac in [K]. Since then, these superalgebras, particularly of type $A(m,n)$, have found applications in various areas including quantum mechanics, nuclear physics, particle physics, and string theory. Understanding their module theory, however, has been a very difficult problem, even at the level of the finite-dimensional simple modules for the Lie superalgebras of type $A(m,n)$. As for the structure and representations of infinite-dimensional Lie superalgebras, these are understood only in particular cases.

People have constructed a great number of various irreducible representations for affine Lie superalgebras from different contexts. It is certainly not possible to classify all irreducible modules for affine Lie superalgebras but people are able to classify irreducible modules which have certain good and natural properties. For example, Rao and Zhao and others (see [RZ] and references therein) classified all irreducible integrable modules with finite-dimensional weight spaces for the affine superalgebras which are not type $A(m,n)$ or

*Correspondence: hjchen@ustc.edu.cn.

The second author is partially supported by the Recruitment Program of Global Youth Experts of China, by the start-up funding from University of Science and Technology of China, by NSF of China (Grant 11401551). The third author is partially supported by NSERC of Canada.

type $C(m)$, who proved that such modules comprise of irreducible integrable highest weight modules, irreducible integrable lowest weight modules and evaluation modules. Recently, Wu and Zhang (see [WZ]) classified all irreducible integrable modules for affine Lie superalgebras of type $A(m,n)$ and type $C(m)$, more precisely, there is a new class of integrable modules for affine Lie superalgebras of type $A(m,n)$ and type $C(m)$ which must be of highest weight type, but are not necessarily evaluation modules. Serganova in [S] studied the affine Kac-Moody Lie superalgebras $\mathfrak{sl}^{(1)}_{1|n}$ and $\mathfrak{osp}^{(1)}_{2|2n}$ and their representations, and obtained character formula for the integrable highest weight representations.

In this paper, we use Wakimoto's idea of free fields to construct a family of irreducible modules for affine Lie superalgebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}_{m|n}}(\mathbb{C})$ with infinite-dimensional weight spaces and discuss the irreducibility of the modules in the finite and affine cases. In fact, since the construction given here is different from Wakimoto's original constructions, some researchers called this as Wakimoto-like construction [GZ2]. Our construction is consistent with [GZ2] when we restrict $n = 0$. Moreover, The result is well known when we restrict our construction to $m = 0$, so, in this paper we always assume that $m \geq 1$.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section 2 we will first construct a family of representations of the Lie superalgebras $\mathfrak{gl}_{m|n}(\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathfrak{sl}_{m|n}(\mathbb{C})$, and study the irreducibility. Then we extend the construction to the affine Kac-Moody Lie superalgebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}_{m|n}}(\mathbb{C})$ in Section 3. We end the paper by discussing the irreducibility of the representations.

Throughout this paper, we denote by $\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Z}_+$ and $\mathbb{C}$ the sets of integers, nonnegative integers and complex numbers respectively. All vector spaces and Lie (super)algebras are over $\mathbb{C}$. For $1 \leq i \leq m+n$, the parity of i is given by $|i| = 0$ if $1 \leq i \leq m$, and $|i| = 1$ otherwise.

2 Representations of general linear Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{gl}_{m|n}(\mathbb{C})$

Let W_1 be the free algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ generated by x_i, y_k, where $i = 2, \ldots, m$ and $k = 1, \ldots, n$, and W_2 be the two-sided ideal of W_1 generated by $x_i x_j - x_j x_i,\ x_i y_k - y_k x_i,\ y_k y_l + y_l y_k$, where $i,\ j = 2, \ldots, m$ and $k,\ l = 1, \ldots, n$. Set

$$W = W_1/W_2.$$

If we denote $\mathbb{C}[x_i | i = 2, \ldots, m]$ to be the polynomial algebra generated by finite variables and denote $\bigwedge^*(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{n} \mathbb{C}y_j)$ to be the exterior algebra generated by finite variables. Then as vector spaces we have

$$W \cong \mathbb{C}[x_i | i = 2, \ldots, m] \otimes \bigwedge{}^* \left(\mathbb{C}y_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{C}y_n\right).$$

Now we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
&[x_i, x_j] = [x_i, y_k] = \{y_k, y_l\}_+ = \{\frac{\partial}{\partial y_k}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_l}\}_+ = 0,\\
&[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}] = [\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_k}] = [\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, y_k] = [\frac{\partial}{\partial y_k}, x_i] = 0,\\
&[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, x_j] = \delta_{i,j}, \{y_k, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_l}\}_+ = \delta_{k,l},
\end{aligned}$$

where $i,\ j = 2, \ldots m;\ k,\ l = 1, \ldots, n$, and $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial y_k}$ are the partial differential operators on W. Then we are

ready to define the following operators on W:

$$\begin{cases} e_{1,1} \;=\; \mu - \sum\limits_{s=2}^{m} x_s \frac{\partial}{\partial x_s} - \sum\limits_{t=1}^{n} y_t \frac{\partial}{\partial y_t}, \\ e_{i,1} = x_i, \\ e_{m+k,1} = y_k, \\ e_{1,i} = e_{1,1}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \\ e_{1,m+k} = e_{1,1}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_k}, \\ e_{i,j} = x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}, \\ e_{i,m+k} = x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_k}, \\ e_{m+k,i} = y_k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \\ e_{m+k,m+l} = y_k \frac{\partial}{\partial y_l}, \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

where $i,\, j = 2 \ldots, m$ and $k,\, l = 1, \ldots, n$. Note that $e_{1,1} + e_{2,2} + \cdots + e_{m+n,m+n} = \mu$.

We may view W as a superalgebra with $|x_i| = \bar{0}$ for $i = 2, \ldots, m$ and $|y_k| = \bar{1}$ for $k = 1, \ldots, n$. It follows that $\mathfrak{gl}(W)$ is a Lie superalgebra. Then we have the following theorem (easily verified, but it could be viewed as a special case of Theorem 3.1, which we will prove in Section 3):

Theorem 2.1. The linear map

$$\varphi : \mathfrak{gl}_{m|n}(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(W)$$

given by

$$\varphi\big(E_{i,j}\big) \;=\; e_{i,j}, \text{ for } i,j = 1, \ldots, m+n,$$

is a Lie superalgebra homomorphism. That is, W is a $\mathfrak{gl}_{m|n}(\mathbb{C})$-module.

Let $L = \mathfrak{sl}_{m|n}(\mathbb{C}) = [\mathfrak{gl}_{m|n}(\mathbb{C}),\ \mathfrak{gl}_{m|n}(\mathbb{C})]$ and $\mathfrak{h}$ be the Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}_{m|n}(\mathbb{C})$ which only contains those diagonal matrices, then $\mathfrak{h}' = \mathfrak{h} \cap L$ is the Cartan subalgebra of L. We set

$$\begin{cases} h_i = E_{i,i} - E_{i+1,i+1}, \text{for } 1 \le i \le m-1, \\ h_m = E_{m,m} + E_{m+1,m+1}, \\ h'_j = E_{m+j,m+j} - E_{m+j+1,m+j+1}, \text{ for } 1 \le j \le n-1, \end{cases}$$

to represent the standard basis of the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}'$ of $\mathfrak{sl}_{m|n}(\mathbb{C})$, and denote by ϵ_i the basis of $\mathfrak{h}^*$ dual to $E_{i,i}$, where $1 \le i \le m+n$. We can identify ϵ_i with $(-1)^{|i|}(E_{i,i}, \cdot)$ with respect to the even non-degenerated supersymmetric invariant bilinear form $(\ ,\ )$ given by $(a,b) = \mathrm{str}(ab)$ where str is the supertrace on $\mathfrak{gl}_{m|n}(\mathbb{C})$. Thus, any $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ could be described in terms of its coordinates as

$$\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i \epsilon_i + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{m+j} \epsilon_{m+j} = (\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_m | \lambda_{m+1}, ..., \lambda_{m+n})$$

Let us choose the standard Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{gl}_{m|n}(\mathbb{C})$ consisting of upper triangular matrices and take a set of simple roots of $\mathfrak{gl}_{m|n}(\mathbb{C})$ (see [CW, Chapter 1])

$$\alpha_1 = \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2, \alpha_2 = \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_3, \ldots, \alpha_{m+n-1} = \epsilon_{m+n-1} - \epsilon_{m+n},$$

then the set of positive even roots and the set of positive odd roots are given by

$$\Delta_0^+ = \{\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j,\ \epsilon_{m+k} - \epsilon_{m+l} \ | 1 \le i < j \le m,\ 1 \le k < l \le n\},$$

$$\Delta_1^+ = \{\epsilon_i - \epsilon_{m+j} | 1 \le i \le m,\ 1 \le j \le n\}.$$

For $(\underline{a};\underline{b}) = (a_2,\dots,a_m;b_1,\dots,b_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{m-1}\times\{0,1\}^n$, we denote $v(\underline{a};\underline{b}) = x_2^{a_2}x_3^{a_3}\dots x_m^{a_m}y_1^{b_1}\dots y_n^{b_n}$, and define the degree of $v(\underline{a};\underline{b})$ to be integer $m_{\underline{a};\underline{b}} = \sum_{k=2}^{m} a_k + \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_k$, i.e., $\deg(v(\underline{a};\underline{b})) = m_{\underline{a};\underline{b}}$. So the weight of $v(\underline{a};\underline{b})$ is

$$(\mu - m_{\underline{a};\underline{b}}, a_2,\dots,a_m|b_1,\dots,b_n).$$

We have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. $\mathfrak{h}'$ acts diagonally on W (so W is a weight module over $\mathfrak{sl}_{m|n}(\mathbb{C})$). If $m \neq n$ and $(\underline{a};\underline{b}) \neq (\underline{c};\underline{d})$, then $v(\underline{a};\underline{b})$ and $v(\underline{c};\underline{d})$ belong to different weight spaces.

Proof. Choose $\{E_{1,1}-E_{i,i}|\, i=2,\dots,m\}\cup\{E_{1,1}+E_{m+k,m+k}|\, k=1,\dots,n\}$ as a basis of $\mathfrak{h}'$. Then

$$(e_{1,1}-e_{i,i}).v(\underline{a};\underline{b}) = (\mu - a_i - m_{\underline{a};\underline{b}})v(\underline{a};\underline{b})$$

and

$$(e_{1,1}+e_{m+k,m+k}).v(\underline{a};\underline{b}) = (\mu + b_k - m_{\underline{a};\underline{b}})v(\underline{a};\underline{b}).$$

If $(\underline{a};\underline{b}),(\underline{c};\underline{d}) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{m-1}\times\{0,1\}^n$ and $v(\underline{a};\underline{b})$, $v(\underline{c};\underline{d})$ lie in the same weight space, then we have

$$\mu - \sum_{s=2}^{m} a_s - \sum_{t=1}^{n} b_t - a_i = \mu - \sum_{s=2}^{m} c_s - \sum_{t=1}^{n} d_t - c_i, \tag{2.2}$$

$$\mu - \sum_{s=2}^{m} a_s - \sum_{t=1}^{n} b_t + b_k = \mu - \sum_{s=2}^{m} c_s - \sum_{t=1}^{n} d_t + d_k, \tag{2.3}$$

for $i = 2,3,\cdots,m$ and $k = 1,\dots,n$, which imply

$$-m\sum_{s=2}^{m} a_s - (m-1)\sum_{t=1}^{n} b_t = -m\sum_{s=2}^{m} c_s - (m-1)\sum_{t=1}^{n} d_t,$$
$$-n\sum_{s=2}^{m} a_s - (n-1)\sum_{t=1}^{n} b_t = -n\sum_{s=2}^{m} c_s - (n-1)\sum_{t=1}^{n} d_t.$$

Thus

$$(n-m)m_{\underline{a};\underline{b}} = (n-m)m_{\underline{c};\underline{d}}.$$

If $m \neq n$, we have $m_{\underline{a};\underline{b}} = m_{\underline{c};\underline{d}}$. By relations (2.2) and (2.3), we have $(\underline{a};\underline{b}) = (\underline{c};\underline{d})$. □

Lemma 2.3. (1). If $m = n = 1$, then $W = \bigwedge \mathbb{C}y_1$ is the two dimensional weight $\mathfrak{sl}_{1|1}(\mathbb{C})$-module. Moreover, if $\mu \neq 0$, then W is irreducible, or otherwise $\mathbb{C}y_1$ is a submodule of W.

(2). For $m = n \geq 2$, $x_2^{a_2+1}x_3^{a_3+1}\dots x_m^{a_m+1}$ and $x_2^{a_2}x_3^{a_3}\dots x_m^{a_m}y_1y_2\dots y_m$ have the same weight for $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ with $2 \leq i \leq m$. moreover, two monic monomials f_1, $f_2 \in W$ lie in the same weight space if and only if $\{f_1,\, f_2\} = \{x_2^{a_2+1}x_3^{a_3+1}\dots x_m^{a_m+1},\, x_2^{a_2}x_3^{a_3}\dots x_m^{a_m}y_1y_2\dots y_m\}$ for some $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $2 \leq i \leq m$.

Proof. The first one is obvious.

If $m = n = 2$, then $W = \mathbb{C}[x_2]\bigotimes\bigwedge^*(\mathbb{C}y_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}y_2)$. We can split monic monomials in W into 4 different classes with respect to y_k,

$$x_2^{a_2},\ \ x_2^{b_2}y_1,\ \ x_2^{c_2}y_2,\ \ x_2^{d_2}y_1y_2,$$

for nonnegative integers $a_2,\ b_2\ , c_2,\ d_2$. The weights of these monomials are

$$(\mu - 2a_2, a_2, 0),\ (\mu - 2b_2 - 1, b_2 + 1, 1),\ (\mu - 2c_2 - 1, c_2, -1),\ (\mu - 2d_2 - 2, d_2 + 1, 0).$$

Since f_1, f_2 belongs to the same weight space, we know that the possible cases are $f_1 = x_2^{a_2+1}$ and $f_2 = x_2^{a_2} y_1 y_2$, or reverse.

For general case, we can split monic monomials in W into 2^n different classes with respect to y_k, and then using the same arguments as in the $m = n = 2$ case, we can prove only $x_2^{a_2+1} x_3^{a_3+1} \dots x_m^{a_m+1}$ and $x_2^{a_2} x_3^{a_3} \dots x_m^{a_m} y_1 y_2 \dots y_m$ belong to the same weight space for arbitrary $a_2, a_3, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. □

Theorem 2.4. (1). If $m \geq 2$, then W is the highest weight module of $\mathfrak{sl}_{m|n}(\mathbb{C})$, with the highest weight vector 1, and the highest weight λ is

$$(\lambda(h_1), \cdots, \lambda(h_m), \lambda(h'_1), \cdots, \lambda(h'_{n-1})) = (\mu, 0, \dots, 0).$$

Moreover, W is an irreducible module if and only if μ is not a nonnegative integer.

(2). If $m = 1$ and $n \geq 2$, then W is the highest weight module of $\mathfrak{sl}_{1|n}(\mathbb{C})$, with the highest weight vector 1, and the highest weight λ is

$$(\lambda(h_1), \lambda(h'_1), \lambda(h'_2), \cdots, \lambda(h'_{n-1})) = (\mu, 0, \cdots, 0).$$

Moreover, W is an irreducible module if and only if $\mu \notin \{0, 1, \cdots, n-1\}$.

Proof. (1). It is obvious that W is a highest weight module, with highest weight vector 1 and the highest weight $\lambda = (\mu, 0, \cdots, 0)$.

If $m \neq n$ and U is a submodule of W, we may assume that $v(\underline{a}; \underline{b})$ is in U with the degree $s = m_{\underline{a};\underline{b}} \geq 1$ since every monomial lies in different weight space. Note that

$$x_2^s = \frac{1}{a_3! \cdots a_m!} e_{2,m+n}^{b_n} \cdots e_{2,m+1}^{b_1} e_{2,m}^{a_m} \cdots e_{2,3}^{a_3} v(\underline{a}; \underline{b})$$

hence x_2^s is in U. Since

$$e_{1,2}(x_2^s) = [s\mu - s(s-1)] x_2^{s-1} = s(\mu - (s-1)) x_2^{s-1},$$

we have

$$e_{1,2}^s(x_2^s) = s!(\mu - (s-1)) \cdots (\mu - 1)\mu \cdot 1.$$

So, if μ is not a nonnegative integer, then $1 \in U$. Hence W is an irreducible module.

For $m = n \geq 2$, by Lemma 2.3, we just consider nonzero weight vector

$$v = A x_2^{a_2+1} x_3^{a_3+1} \dots x_m^{a_m+1} + B x_2^{a_2} x_3^{a_3} \dots x_m^{a_m} y_1 y_2 \dots y_m \in U$$

with $A, B \in \mathbb{C}$ and $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. If $B = 0$, then $x_2^{a_2+1} x_3^{a_3+1} \dots x_m^{a_m+1} \in U$, otherwise

$$e_{s,m+m} \dots e_{s,m+2} e_{2,m+1}(B^{-1} v) = x_2^{a_2+m} x_3^{a_3} \dots x_m^{a_m} \in U.$$

By the same arguments as in the $m \neq n$ case, we know that W is an irreducible module if and only if μ is not a nonnegative integer.

(2). Since $(e_{1,1} + e_{2,2})1 = \mu$, and $(e_{i,i} - e_{i+1,i+1})1 = 0$, $i = 2, 3, \cdots, n$, W is a highest weight module with highest weight vector 1 and the highest weight $\lambda = (\mu, 0, \cdots, 0)$.

If U is a nonzero submodule of W, such that $y_{i_1} y_{i_2} \cdots y_{i_k}, 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k \leq n$ is in U (since every monomial lies in different weight space). We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& e_{1,i_k} \cdots e_{1,i_2} e_{1,i_1} y_{i_1} y_{i_2} \cdots y_{i_k} \\
=& e_{1,i_k} \cdots e_{1,i_2} e_{1,1} y_{i_2} \cdots y_{i_k} \\
=& (\mu - (k-1)) e_{1,i_k} \cdots e_{1,i_2} y_{i_2} \cdots y_{i_k} \\
=& \cdots\cdots \\
=& (\mu - (k-1))(\mu - (k-2)) \cdots (\mu - 1)\mu \cdot 1.
\end{aligned}$$

So, if $\mu \notin \{0, 1, \cdots, n-1\}$, then 1 is in U, hence W is an irreducible module. □

Theorem 2.5. (1). If $m \geq 2$ and μ is a nonnegative integer, the submodule $V(\mu)$ of W generated by $x_2^{\mu+1}$ is the unique maximal submodule of W. Moreover $V(\mu)$ itself is irreducible.

(2). If $m = 1$ and $\mu \in \{0, 1, \cdots, n-1\}$, the submodule $V(\mu)$ of W generated by $y_1 y_2 \cdots y_{\mu+1}$ is the unique maximal submodule of W, also itself is irreducible.

Proof. (1). If U is a submodule of W containing $V(\mu)$ as a proper submodule, then U must contain some $v(\underline{a};\underline{b})$ with degree $s := m_{\underline{a};\underline{b}} \leq \mu$, which follows from Lemma 2.2, Lemma 2.3 and the proof of Theorem 2.4. Hence

$$x_2^s = \frac{1}{a_3! \cdots a_m!} e_{2,m+n}^{b_n} \cdots e_{2,m+1}^{b_1} e_{2,m}^{a_m} \cdots e_{2,3}^{a_3} v(\underline{a};\underline{b}) \in U,$$

furthermore

$$1 = \frac{1}{s!(\mu-(s-1)) \cdots (\mu-1)\mu} e_{1,2}^s (x_2^s) \in U.$$

So $U = W$.

It is obvious that the monic singular vector in $V(\mu)$ must have the form x_2^s with $s \geq \mu + 1$. Now

$$0 = e_{1,2}(x_2^s) = [s\mu - s(s-1)] x_2^{s-1} = s(\mu - (s-1)) x_2^{s-1}$$

implies that $s = \mu + 1$, i.e., $V(\mu)$ is an irreducible module. Now the uniqueness of $V(\mu)$ follows from the above discussion and the proof of Theorem 2.4.

(2). If U is a submodule of W containing $V(\mu)$ as its submodule, then U must contain $y_{i_1} y_{i_2} \cdots y_{i_s}$ with $0 \leq s \leq \mu$ and $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots i_s \leq n$. Hence we have

$$1 = \frac{1}{(\mu-(s-1))(\mu-(s-2)) \cdots (\mu-1)\mu} e_{1,i_s} \cdots e_{1,i_1} y_{i_1} \cdots y_{i_s} \in U.$$

So $U = W$, i.e., $V(\mu)$ is maximal.

Also if W contains another monic singular vector different from 1. It is obvious that the singular vector must have the form $y_1 y_2 \cdots y_s$ with $s \geq 1$. Now $e_{1,2} y_1 y_2 \cdots y_s = (\mu - (s-1)) y_2 \cdots y_s = 0$ deduce that $s = \mu + 1$. Hence $V(\mu)$ is the unique maximal submodule of W, moreover itself is irreducible. □

Next let S be the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(W)$ generated by elements $e_{i,j}$ with $i, j = 2, \ldots, m+n$. Then we know that $S \cong \mathfrak{gl}_{m-1|n}(\mathbb{C})$ which follows from Theorem 2.1. Thus $\mathfrak{h}_S = \mathfrak{h} \cap S$ is the Cartan subalgebra of S, and ϵ_i, $2 \leq i \leq m+n-1$ is a basis for $(\mathfrak{h}_S)^*$.

When μ is a nonnegative integer, evidently $V(\mu)$ is the submodule of W spanned by all the monomials $v(\underline{a};\underline{b})$, with degree $m_{\underline{a};\underline{b}} \geq \mu+1$. Now the quotient module $W/V(\mu)$ is an irreducible highest weight module of $\mathfrak{sl}_{m|n}(\mathbb{C})$, with highest weight $(\mu, 0, \cdots, 0)$, hence it is finite-dimensional (for more information about the finite-dimensional simple modules over $\mathfrak{sl}_{m|n}(\mathbb{C})$, see [CW]). It is clear that

$$\{v(\underline{a};\underline{b}) + V(\mu) \mid m_{\underline{a};\underline{b}} \leq \mu\}$$

is a basis for $W/V(\mu)$ and the weight of $v(\underline{a};\underline{b}) + V(\mu)$ is

$$\mu\epsilon_1 - m_{\underline{a};\underline{b}}\alpha_1 - (m_{\underline{a};\underline{b}} - a_2)\alpha_2 - (m_{\underline{a};\underline{b}} - a_2 - a_3)\alpha_3 - \ldots - b_n\alpha_{m+n-1},$$

so the character formula for $M/V(\mu)$ is

$$Ch_{W/V(\mu)} = \sum_{\substack{a_i \geq 0,\ 0 \leq b_k \leq 1 \\ m_{\underline{a};\underline{b}} \leq \mu}} e(\mu\epsilon_1) e(-(m_{\underline{a};\underline{b}})\alpha_1 - \ldots - b_n\alpha_{m+n-1}).$$

For $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, set $W_s = \{f \in W | f \text{ is a homogenous elements of degree } s\}$, then we get

$$W = \bigoplus_{s=0}^{\infty} W_s.$$

From the above discussion, we can obtain the following theorem:

Theorem 2.6. (1). The character formula of $\mathfrak{gl}_{m|n}(\mathbb{C})$-module W is

$$Ch(W) = \sum_{\alpha \in P} e(\mu\epsilon_1)e(\alpha),$$

where

$$P = \Big\{ \sum_{i=1}^{m+n-1} -t_i\alpha_i \,\Big|\, t_1 \geq t_2 \geq \ldots \geq t_{m+n-1} \geq t_{m+n} = 0,\, t_j - t_{j+1} \in \{0,1\} \text{ for } m \leq j \leq m+n-1 \Big\}.$$

(2). If μ is a nonnegative integer, the character formula of $V(\mu)$ is

$$Ch\big(V(\mu)\big) = \sum_{\alpha \in Q} e(\mu\epsilon_1)e(\alpha),$$

where

$$Q = \Big\{ \sum_{i=1}^{m+n-1} -t_i\alpha_i \in P \,\Big|\, t_1 \geq \mu + 1 \Big\}.$$

(3). If $m \geq 2$, then every homogeneous subspace W_s of W is an irreducible highest weight module of S, with the highest weight vector x_2^s of weight $s\epsilon_2$.

(4). If $m = 1$, then every homogenous subspace W_s (with $s \in \{0, 1, \cdots, n\}$) of W is an irreducible highest weight module of S, with the highest weight vector is $y_1y_2\cdots y_s$ of weight $\epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3 + \cdots + \epsilon_{s+1}$.

3 Representations of affine Lie superalgebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}_{m|n}}(\mathbb{C})$

In this section we focus on some affine Lie superalgebra. Let

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}_{m|n}}(\mathbb{C}) = \widetilde{\mathfrak{gl}_{m|n}}(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathbb{C}d$$

be the affine Kac-Moody Lie superalgebra associated to $\mathfrak{gl}_{m|n}(\mathbb{C})$ (see [CS]), here

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{gl}_{m|n}}(\mathbb{C}) = \mathfrak{gl}_{m|n}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}K,$$

and K is a central clement of $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}_{m|n}}(\mathbb{C})$. The commutation relations in $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}_{m|n}}(\mathbb{C})$ are given by

$$[a \otimes t^{m_1}, b \otimes t^{n_1}] = [a, b] \otimes t^{m_1+n_1} + m_1\delta_{m_1,-n_1}(a, b)K,\ \ [d, a \otimes t^{m_1}] = m_1 a \otimes t^{m_1},\ \ [K, \widehat{\mathfrak{gl}_{m|n}}(\mathbb{C})] = 0.$$

where $(\ .\ )$ is the non-degenerate even invariant supersymmetric bilinear form on $\mathfrak{gl}_{m|n}(\mathbb{C})$. In particular, we can regard $\mathfrak{gl}_{m|n}(\mathbb{C})$ as the subalgebra $\mathfrak{gl}_{m|n}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes 1$ of $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}_{m|n}}(\mathbb{C})$ and $H = \mathfrak{h} \otimes 1 \oplus \mathbb{C}K \oplus \mathbb{C}d$ is the Cartan subalgebra of $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}_{m|n}}(\mathbb{C})$.

Let $\widehat{W}_1$ be the free algebra generated by infinite elements $x_i(m_1)$, $y_k(n_1)$ for m_1, $n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $i = 2, \ldots, m$ and $k = 1, \ldots, n$. Take $\widehat{W}_2$ to be the two-sided ideal of $\widehat{W}_1$ generated by

$$x_i(m_1)x_j(n_1) - x_j(n_1)x_i(m_1),\ x_i(m_1)y_k(n_1) - y_k(n_1)x_i(m_1),\ y_k(m_1)y_l(n_1) + y_l(n_1)y_k(m_1)$$

for $m_1,\, n_1 \in \mathbb{Z},\, i,\, j = 2, \dots, m$ and $k,\, l = 1, \dots, n$. Set

$$\widehat{W} = \widehat{W}_1 / \widehat{W}_2.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&[x_i(m_1), x_j(n_1)] = [x_i(m_1), y_k(n_1)] = \{y_k(m_1), y_l(n_1)\}_+ = \{\frac{\partial}{\partial y_k(m_1)}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_l(n_1)}\}_+ = 0,\\
&[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i(m_1)}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j(n_1)}] = [\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i(m_1)}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_k(n_1)}] = [\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i(m_1)}, y_k(n_1)] = [\frac{\partial}{\partial y_k(m_1)}, x_i(n_1)] = 0,\\
&[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i(m_1)}, x_j(n_1)] = \delta_{i,j}\delta_{m_1,n_1},\ \{y_k(m_1), \frac{\partial}{\partial y_l(n_1)}\}_+ = \delta_{k,l}\delta_{m_1,n_1},
\end{aligned}$$

for $m_1,\, n_1 \in \mathbb{Z},\, i,\, j = 2, \dots, m$ and $k,\, l = 1, \dots, n$. We define the following operators on $\widehat{W}$:

$$\begin{cases}
e_{1,1}(m_1) \;=\; \mu\delta_{m_1,0} - \sum\limits_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum\limits_{i=2}^{m} x_i(m_1+m_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i(m_2)} - \sum\limits_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} y_i(m_1+m_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i(m_2)},\\
e_{1,i}(m_1) = \sum\limits_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}} e_{1,1}(m_1+m_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i(m_2)},\\
e_{1,m+k}(m_1) = \sum\limits_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}} e_{1,1}(m_1+m_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_k(m_2)},\\
e_{i,1}(m_1) = x_i(m_1),\\
e_{m+k,1}(m_1) = y_k(m_1),\\
e_{i,j}(m_1) = \sum\limits_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}} x_i(m_1+m_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j(m_2)},\\
e_{i,m+k}(m_1) = \sum\limits_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}} x_i(m_1+m_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i(m_2)},\\
e_{m+k,j}(m_1) = \sum\limits_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}} y_k(m_1+m_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j(m_2)},\\
e_{m+k,m+l}(m_1) = \sum\limits_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}} y_k(m_1+m_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_l(m_2)},
\end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

where $m_1 \in \mathbb{Z},\, i,\, j = 2, \dots, m$ and $k,\, l = 1, \dots, n$.

We may view $\widehat{W}$ as a superalgebra with $|x_i(m_1)| = \bar{0},\ |y_k(m_1)| = \bar{1}$ for $m_1 \in \mathbb{Z},\ i = 2, \dots, m$ and $k = 1, \dots, n$. It follows that $\mathfrak{gl}(\widehat{W})$ is a Lie superalgebra. Then we have the following theorem:

Theorem 3.1. The linear map

$$\varphi : \widetilde{\mathfrak{gl}_{m|n}}(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\widehat{W})$$

given by

$$\varphi\big(E_{i,j} \otimes t^{m_1}\big) \;=\; e_{i,j}(m_1),\ \text{for}\ i,j = 1, \dots, m+n, \quad \varphi(K) = 0,$$

is a Lie superalgebra homomorphism. That is, $\widehat{W}$ is a $\widetilde{\mathfrak{gl}_{m|n}}(\mathbb{C})$-module.

Proof. It suffices to show that the operators given above satisfy the corresponding commutator relations.

We will check these case by case

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\big[e_{1,1}(m_1),e_{1,1}(n_1)\big]\\
&\quad=\Big[\sum_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{i=2}^{m}x_i(m_1+m_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i(m_2)},\sum_{n_2\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{j=2}^{m}x_j(n_1+n_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j(n_2)}\Big]\\
&\qquad+\Big[\sum_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{i=2}^{m}x_i(m_1+m_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i(m_2)},\sum_{n_2\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{j=1}^{n}y_j(n_1+n_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j(n_2)}\Big]\\
&\qquad+\Big[\sum_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{i=1}^{n}y_i(m_1+m_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i(m_2)},\sum_{n_2\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{j=2}^{m}x_j(n_1+n_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j(n_2)}\Big]\\
&\qquad+\Big[\sum_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{i=1}^{n}y_i(m_1+m_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i(m_2)},\sum_{n_2\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{j=1}^{n}y_j(n_1+n_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j(n_2)}\Big]\\
&\quad=\sum_{m_2,n_2\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{i,j=2}^{m}\Big(x_i(m_1+m_2)\Big[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i(m_2)},x_j(n_1+n_2)\Big]\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j(n_2)}\\
&\qquad-x_j(n_1+n_2)\Big[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j(n_2)},x_i(m_1+m_2)\Big]\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i(m_2)}\Big)\\
&\qquad+\sum_{m_2,n_2\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}\Big(y_i(m_1+m_2)\Big\{\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i(m_2)},y_j(n_1+n_2)\Big\}_+\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j(n_2)}\\
&\qquad-y_j(n_1+n_2)\Big\{\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j(n_2)},y_i(m_1+m_2)\Big\}_+\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i(m_2)}\Big)\\
&\quad=\sum_{m_2,n_2\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{i,j=2}^{m}\Big(\delta_{i,j}\delta_{m_2,n_1+n_2}x_i(m_1+m_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j(n_2)}-\delta_{i,j}\delta_{n_2,m_1+m_2}x_j(n_1+n_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i(m_2)}\Big)\\
&\qquad+\sum_{m_2,n_2\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}\Big(\delta_{i,j}\delta_{m_2,n_1+n_2}y_i(m_1+m_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j(n_2)}-\delta_{i,j}\delta_{n_2,m_1+m_2}y_j(n_1+n_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i(m_2)}\Big)\\
&\quad=0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\big[e_{1,1}(m_1),\ e_{1,i}(n_1)\big]&=\sum_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}}\Big(\Big[e_{1,1}(m_1),e_{1,1}(n_1+m_2)\Big]\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i(m_2)}\\
&\qquad+e_{1,1}(n_1+m_2)\Big[-\sum_{n_2\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{j=2}^{m}x_j(m_1+n_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j(n_2)},\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i(m_2)}\Big]\Big)\\
&=\sum_{m_2,n_2\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{j=2}^{m}e_{1,1}(n_1+m_2)\Big[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i(m_2)},x_j(m_1+n_2)\Big]\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j(n_2)}\\
&=e_{1,i}(m_1+n_1),
\end{aligned}
$$

for $i=2,\dots,m$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\big[e_{1,1}(m_1),\ e_{1,m+i}(n_1)\big]&=\sum_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}}\Big(\big[e_{1,1}(m_1),e_{1,1}(n_1+m_2)\big]\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i(m_2)}\\
&\qquad+e_{1,1}(n_1+m_2)\Big[-\sum_{n_2\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{j=1}^{n}y_j(m_1+n_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j(n_2)},\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i(m_2)}\Big]\Big)\\
&=\sum_{m_2,n_2\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{j=1}^{n}e_{1,1}(n_1+m_2)\Big\{y_j(m_1+n_2),\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i(m_2)}\Big\}_+\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j(n_2)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$=e_{1,m+i}(m_1+n_1),$$

for $i=1,\dots,n$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big[e_{i,1}(m_1),\, e_{1,1}(n_1)\big]\\
&\quad=-\sum_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{j=2}^{m}\Big[x_i(m_1),\ x_j(n_1+m_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j(m_2)}\Big]-\sum_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\Big[x_i(m_1),\ y_k(n_1+m_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_k(m_2)}\Big]\\
&\quad=-\sum_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{j=2}^{m}x_j(n_1+m_2)\Big[x_i(m_1),\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j(m_2)}\Big]\\
&\quad=\sum_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{j=2}^{m}x_j(n_1+m_2)\delta_{i,j}\delta_{m_1,m_2}\\
&\quad=e_{i,1}(m_1+n_1),
\end{aligned}$$

for $i=2,\dots,m$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\big[e_{m+i,1}(m_1),\ e_{1,1}(n_1)\big]&=-\sum_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\Big[y_i(m_1),\ y_j(n_1+m_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j(m_2)}\Big]\\
&=\sum_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{j=1}^{n}y_j(n_1+m_2)\Big\{y_i(m_1),\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j(m_2)}\Big\}_+\\
&=\sum_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{j=1}^{n}y_j(n_1+m_2)\delta_{i,j}\delta_{m_1,m_2}\\
&=e_{m+i,1}(m_1+n_1),
\end{aligned}$$

for $i=1,\dots,n$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\big[e_{i,j}(m_1),\ e_{1,1}(n_1)\big]&=-\Big[\sum_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}}x_i(m_1+m_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j(m_2)},\ \sum_{n_2\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{k=2}^{m}x_k(n_1+n_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k(n_2)}\Big]\\
&=\sum_{m_2,n_2\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{k=2}^{m}\Bigg(-x_i(m_1+m_2)\Big[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j(m_2)},x_k(n_1+n_2)\Big]\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k(n_2)}\\
&\quad+x_k(n_1+n_2)\Big[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k(n_2)},x_i(m_1+m_2)\Big]\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j(m_2)}\Bigg)\\
&=-\sum_{n_2\in\mathbb{Z}}x_i(m_1+n_1+n_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k(n_2)}+\sum_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}}x_i(m_1+n_1+m_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j(m_2)}\\
&=0,
\end{aligned}$$

for $i,\,j=2,\dots,m$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\big[e_{i,m+j}(m_1),\ e_{1,1}(n_1)\big]&=-\Big[\sum_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}}x_i(m_1+m_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j(m_2)},\ \sum_{n_2\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{k=2}^{m}x_k(n_1+n_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k(n_2)}\Big]\\
&\quad-\Big[\sum_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}}x_i(m_1+m_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j(m_2)},\ \sum_{n_2\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{k=1}^{n}y_k(n_1+n_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_k(n_2)}\Big]\\
&=\sum_{m_2,n_2\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{k=2}^{m}x_k(n_1+n_2)\Big[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k(n_2)},x_i(m_1+m_2)\Big]\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j(m_2)}\\
&\quad-\sum_{m_2,n_2\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{k=1}^{n}x_i(m_1+m_2)\Big\{\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j(m_2)},y_k(n_1+n_2)\Big\}_+\frac{\partial}{\partial y_k(n_2)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}} x_i(m_1+n_1+m_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j(m_2)} - \sum_{n_2\in\mathbb{Z}} x_i(m_1+n_1+n_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j(n_2)}\\
&=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

for $i = 2, \dots, m$ and $j = 1, \dots, n$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\big[e_{m+i,j}(m_1),\ e_{1,1}(n_1)\big] &= -\Big[\sum_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}} y_i(m_1+m_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j(m_2)},\ \sum_{n_2\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{k=2}^{m} x_k(n_1+n_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k(n_2)}\Big]\\
&\quad -\Big[\sum_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}} y_i(m_1+m_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j(m_2)},\ \sum_{n_2\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{k=1}^{n} y_k(n_1+n_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_k(n_2)}\Big]\\
&= -\sum_{m_2,n_2\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{k=2}^{m} y_i(m_1+m_2)\Big[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j(m_2)}, x_k(n_1+n_2)\Big]\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k(n_2)}\\
&\quad +\sum_{m_2,n_2\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{k=1}^{n} y_k(n_1+n_2)\Big\{\frac{\partial}{\partial y_k(n_2)}, y_i(m_1+m_2)\Big\}_+\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j(m_2)}\\
&= -\sum_{n_2\in\mathbb{Z}} y_i(m_1+n_1+n_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j(n_2)} + \sum_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}} y_i(m_1+n_1+m_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j(m_2)}\\
&=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $j = 2, \dots, m$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\big[e_{m+i,m+j}(m_1),\ e_{1,1}(n_1)\big] &= -\Big[\sum_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}} y_i(m_1+m_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j(m_2)},\ \sum_{n_2\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{k=2}^{m} x_k(n_1+n_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k(n_2)}\Big]\\
&\quad -\Big[\sum_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}} y_i(m_1+m_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j(m_2)},\ \sum_{n_2\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{k=1}^{n} y_k(n_1+n_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_k(n_2)}\Big]\\
&= \sum_{m_2,n_2\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\Bigg(-y_i(m_1+m_2)\Big\{\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j(m_2)}, y_k(n_1+n_2)\Big\}_+\frac{\partial}{\partial y_k(n_2)}\\
&\quad + y_k(n_1+n_2)\Big\{\frac{\partial}{\partial y_k(n_2)}, y_i(m_1+m_2)\Big\}_+\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j(m_2)}\Bigg)\\
&= -\sum_{n_2\in\mathbb{Z}} y_i(m_1+n_1+n_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j(n_2)} + \sum_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}} y_i(m_1+n_1+m_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j(m_2)}\\
&=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

for $i, j = 1, \dots, n$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\big[e_{1,i}(m_1),\, e_{1,j}(n_1)\big]\\
&\quad= \sum_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}}\big[e_{1,i}(m_1),\ e_{1,1}(n_1+m_2)\big]\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j(m_2)}\\
&\qquad+\sum_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}} e_{1,1}(n_1+m_2)\Big[\sum_{n_2\in\mathbb{Z}} e_{1,1}(m_1+n_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i(n_2)}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j(m_2)}\Big]\\
&\quad= -\sum_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}} e_{1,i}(m_1+n_1+m_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j(m_2)}\\
&\qquad-\sum_{m_2,n_2\in\mathbb{Z}} e_{1,1}(n_1+m_2)\Big[\Big(\sum_{n_3\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{k=2}^{m} x_k(m_1+n_2+n_3)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k(n_3)}\Big)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i(n_2)}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j(m_2)}\Big]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= -\sum_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}} e_{1,i}(m_1+n_1+m_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j(m_2)}\\
&\quad -\sum_{m_2,n_2,n_3\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{k=2}^{m} e_{1,1}(n_1+m_2)\Big[x_k(m_1+n_2+n_3),\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j(m_2)}\Big]\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k(n_3)}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i(n_2)}\\
&= -\sum_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}} e_{1,i}(m_1+n_1+m_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j(m_2)} + \sum_{n_3,n_2\in\mathbb{Z}} e_{1,1}(n_1+m_1+n_2+n_3)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j(n_3)}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i(n_2)}\\
&=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

for $i,\, j=2,\dots,m$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\big[e_{1,i}(m_1),\ e_{1,m+j}(n_1)\big]\\
&\quad= \sum_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}}\big[e_{1,i}(m_1),\ e_{1,1}(n_1+m_2)\big]\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j(m_2)} + \sum_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}} e_{1,1}(n_1+m_2)\Big[\sum_{n_2\in\mathbb{Z}} e_{1,1}(m_1+n_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i(n_2)},\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j(m_2)}\Big]\\
&\quad= -\sum_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}} e_{1,i}(m_1+n_1+m_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j(m_2)}\\
&\qquad -\sum_{m_2,n_2\in\mathbb{Z}} e_{1,1}(n_1+m_2)\Big[\Big(\sum_{n_3\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{k=1}^{n} y_k(m_1+n_2+n_3)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_k(n_3)}\Big)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i(n_2)},\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j(m_2)}\Big]\\
&\quad= -\sum_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}} e_{1,i}(m_1+n_1+m_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j(m_2)}\\
&\qquad +\sum_{m_2,n_2,n_3\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{k=1}^{n} e_{1,1}(n_1+m_2)\Big\{y_k(m_1+n_2+n_3),\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j(m_2)}\Big\}_+\frac{\partial}{\partial y_k(n_3)}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i(n_2)}\\
&\quad= -\sum_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}} e_{1,i}(m_1+n_1+m_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j(m_2)} + \sum_{n_3,n_2\in\mathbb{Z}} e_{1,1}(n_1+m_1+n_2+n_3)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j(n_3)}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i(n_2)}\\
&\quad= -\sum_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}} e_{1,i}(m_1+n_1+m_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j(m_2)} + \sum_{n_3\in\mathbb{Z}} e_{1,i}(m_1+n_1+n_3)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j(n_3)}\\
&\quad=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

for $i=2,\dots,m$ and $j=1,\dots,n$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\big[e_{i,1}(m_1),e_{1,j}(n_1)\big] &=\Big[e_{i,1}(m_1),\ \sum_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}} e_{1,1}(n_1+m_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j(m_2)}\Big]\\
&= \sum_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}}\left(\Big[e_{i,1}(m_1),e_{1,1}(n_1+m_2)\Big]\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j(m_2)} + e_{1,1}(n_1+m_2)\Big[x_i(m_1),\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j(m_2)}\Big]\right)\\
&=e_{i,j}(m_1+n_1) - \sum_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}} e_{1,1}(n_1+m_2)\delta_{i,j}\delta_{m_1,m_2}\\
&=e_{i,j}(m_1+n_1) - \delta_{i,j}e_{1,1}(m_1+n_1),
\end{aligned}
$$

for $i,\, j=2,\dots,m$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\big[\ e_{m+i,1}(m_1),\ e_{1,j}(n_1)\big] &= \sum_{n_2\in\mathbb{Z}}\big[e_{m+i,1}(m_1),\ e_{1,1}(n_1+n_2)\big]\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j(n_2)}\\
&= \sum_{n_2\in\mathbb{Z}} e_{m+i,1}(m_1+n_1+n_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j(n_2)}\\
&=e_{m+i,j}(m_1+n_1),
\end{aligned}
$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $j = 2, \dots, m$.

$$\begin{aligned}\big[e_{i,j}(m_1),\ e_{1,k}(n_1)\big] &= \sum_{m_2,n_2\in\mathbb{Z}} e_{1,1}(n_1+n_2)\Big[x_i(m_1+m_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j(m_2)}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k(n_2)}\Big]\\ &= -\sum_{m_2,n_2\in\mathbb{Z}} e_{1,1}(n_1+n_2)\Big[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k(n_2)}, x_i(m_1+m_2)\Big]\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j(m_2)}\\ &= -\delta_{ik}e_{1,j}(m_1+n_1),\end{aligned}$$

for $i,\ j,\ k = 2, \dots, m$.

$$\begin{aligned}\big[e_{i,m+j}(m_1),\ e_{1,k}(n_1)\big] &= \sum_{m_2,n_2\in\mathbb{Z}} e_{1,1}(n_1+n_2)\Big[x_i(m_1+m_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j(m_2)}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k(n_2)}\Big]\\ &= -\sum_{m_2,n_2\in\mathbb{Z}} e_{1,1}(n_1+n_2)\Big[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k(n_2)}, x_i(m_1+m_2)\Big]\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j(m_2)}\\ &= -\delta_{ik}e_{1,m+j}(m_1+n_1),\end{aligned}$$

for $i,\ k = 2, \dots, m$ and $j = 1, \dots, n$.

$$\big[e_{m+i,j}(m_1),\ e_{1,k}(n_1)\big] = \sum_{m_2,n_2\in\mathbb{Z}} e_{1,1}(n_1+n_2)\big[y_i(m_1+m_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j(m_2)}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k(n_2)}\big] = 0,$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $j,\ k = 2, \dots, m$.

$$\big[e_{m+i,m+j}(m_1),\ e_{1,k}(n_1)\big] = \sum_{m_2,n_2\in\mathbb{Z}} e_{1,1}(n_1+n_2)\big[y_i(m_1+m_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j(m_2)}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k(n_2)}\big] = 0,$$

for $i,\ j = 1, \dots, n$ and $k = 2, \dots, m$.

$$\begin{aligned}&\big\{e_{1,m+i}(m_1),\, e_{1,m+j}(n_1)\big\}_+\\ &\quad= \sum_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}} \big[e_{1,m+i}(m_1),\ e_{1,1}(n_1+m_2)\big]\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j(m_2)}\\ &\qquad+ \sum_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}} e_{1,1}(n_1+m_2)\Big\{\sum_{n_2\in\mathbb{Z}} e_{1,1}(m_1+n_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i(n_2)}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j(m_2)}\Big\}_+\\ &\quad= -\sum_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}} e_{1,m+i}(m_1+n_1+m_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j(m_2)} + \sum_{m_2,n_2\in\mathbb{Z}} e_{1,1}(n_1+m_2)\Big\{\Big(\mu\delta_{m_1+n_2,0}\\ &\qquad- \sum_{n_3\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{k=1}^{n} y_k(m_1+n_2+n_3)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_k(n_3)}\Big)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i(n_2)}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j(m_2)}\Big\}_+\\ &\quad= -\sum_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}} e_{1,m+i}(m_1+n_1+m_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j(m_2)}\\ &\qquad- \sum_{m_2,n_2,n_3\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{k=1}^{n} e_{1,1}(n_1+m_2)\Big\{\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j(m_2)}, y_k(m_1+n_2+n_3)\Big\}_+\frac{\partial}{\partial y_k(n_3)}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i(n_2)}\\ &\quad= -\sum_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}} e_{1,m+i}(m_1+n_1+m_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j(m_2)} - \sum_{n_3,n_2\in\mathbb{Z}} e_{1,1}(n_1+m_1+n_2+n_3)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j(n_3)}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i(n_2)}\\ &\quad=0,\end{aligned}$$

for $i,j=1,\dots,n$.

$$\begin{aligned}\big[\ e_{i,1}(m_1),\ \ e_{1,m+j}(n_1)\big]&=\sum_{n_2\in\mathbb{Z}}\big[e_{i,1}(m_1),\ e_{1,1}(n_1+n_2)\big]\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j(n_2)}\\&=\sum_{n_2\in\mathbb{Z}}e_{i,1}(m_1+n_1+n_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j(n_2)}\\&=e_{i,m+j}(m_1+n_1),\end{aligned}$$

for $i=2,\dots,m$ and $j=1,\dots,n$.

$$\begin{aligned}&\big\{e_{m+i,1}(m_1),\,e_{1,m+j}(n_1)\big\}_+\\&\quad=\Big\{e_{m+i,1}(m_1),\ \sum_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}}e_{1,1}(n_1+m_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j(m_2)}\Big\}_+\\&\quad=\sum_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}}\left(\Big[e_{m+i,1}(m_1),\ e_{1,1}(n_1+m_2)\Big]\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j(m_2)}+e_{1,1}(n_1+m_2)\Big\{y_i(m_1),\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j(m_2)}\Big\}_+\right)\\&\quad=e_{m+i,m+j}(m_1+n_1)+\sum_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}}e_{1,1}(n_1+m_2)\delta_{i,j}\delta_{m_1,m_2}\\&\quad=e_{m+i,m+j}(m_1+n_1)+\delta_{i,j}e_{1,1}(m_1+n_1),\end{aligned}$$

for $i,\,j=1,\dots,n$.

$$\begin{aligned}&\big[e_{i,j}(m_1),\,e_{1,m+k}(n_1)\big]\\&\quad=\sum_{n_2\in\mathbb{Z}}\big[e_{i,j}(m_1),\ e_{1,1}(n_1+n_2)\big]\frac{\partial}{\partial y_k(n_2)}+\sum_{m_2,n_2\in\mathbb{Z}}e_{1,1}(n_1+n_2)\Big[x_i(m_1+m_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j(m_2)},\frac{\partial}{\partial y_k(n_2)}\Big]\\&\quad=0,\end{aligned}$$

for $i,\,j=2,\dots,m$ and $k=1,\dots,n$.

$$\begin{aligned}&\big\{e_{i,m+j}(m_1),\ e_{1,m+k}(n_1)\big\}_+\\&\quad=\sum_{n_2\in\mathbb{Z}}\big[e_{i,m+j}(m_1),\ e_{1,1}(n_1+n_2)\big]\frac{\partial}{\partial y_k(n_2)}+\sum_{m_2,n_2\in\mathbb{Z}}e_{1,1}(n_1+n_2)\Big\{x_i(m_1+m_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j(m_2)},\frac{\partial}{\partial y_k(n_2)}\Big\}_+\\&\quad=0,\end{aligned}$$

for $i=2,\dots,m$ and $j,\,k=1,\dots,n$.

$$\begin{aligned}&\big\{e_{m+i,j}(m_1),\ e_{1,m+k}(n_1)\big\}_+\\&\quad=\sum_{n_2\in\mathbb{Z}}\big[e_{m+i,j}(m_1),\ e_{1,1}(n_1+n_2)\big]\frac{\partial}{\partial y_k(n_2)}+\sum_{m_2,n_2\in\mathbb{Z}}e_{1,1}(n_1+n_2)\Big\{y_i(m_1+m_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j(m_2)},\frac{\partial}{\partial y_k(n_2)}\Big\}_+\\&\quad=\sum_{m_2,n_2\in\mathbb{Z}}e_{1,1}(n_1+n_2)\Big\{\frac{\partial}{\partial y_k(n_2)},y_i(m_1+m_2)\Big\}_+\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j(m_2)}\\&\quad=\delta_{i,k}e_{1,j}(m_1+n_1),\end{aligned}$$

for $i,\,k=1,\dots,n$ and $j=2,\dots,m$.

$$\begin{aligned}&\big[e_{m+i,m+j}(m_1),\ e_{1,m+k}(n_1)\big]\\&\quad=\sum_{n_2\in\mathbb{Z}}\big[e_{m+i,j}(m_1),\ e_{1,1}(n_1+n_2)\big]\frac{\partial}{\partial y_k(n_2)}+\sum_{m_2,n_2\in\mathbb{Z}}e_{1,1}(n_1+n_2)\Big[y_i(m_1+m_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j(m_2)},\frac{\partial}{\partial y_k(n_2)}\Big]\\&\quad=-\sum_{m_2,n_2\in\mathbb{Z}}e_{1,1}(n_1+n_2)\Big\{\frac{\partial}{\partial y_k(n_2)},y_i(m_1+m_2)\Big\}_+\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j(m_2)}\\&\quad=-\delta_{i,k}e_{1,m+j}(m_1+n_1),\end{aligned}$$

for $i,\ j,\ k = 1, \dots, n$.

$$\big[e_{i,1}(m_1),\ e_{j,1}(n_1)\big] \ = \ \big[x_i(m_1),\ x_j(n_1)\big] \ = \ 0,$$

for $i,\ j = 2, \dots, m$.

$$\big[e_{i,1}(m_1),\ e_{m+j,1}(n_1)\big] \ = \ \big[x_i(m_1),\ y_j(n_1)\big] \ = \ 0,$$

for $i = 2, \dots, m$ and $j = 1, \dots, n$.

$$\begin{aligned}\big[e_{i,1}(m_1),\ e_{j,k}(n_1)\big] \ =& \Big[x_i(m_1),\ \sum_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}} x_j(n_1+m_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k(m_2)}\Big]\\ =& \sum_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}} x_j(n_1+m_2)\Big[x_i(m_1),\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k(m_2)}\Big]\\ =& -\sum_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}} \delta_{i,k}\delta_{m_1,m_2}x_j(n_1+m_2)\\ =& -\delta_{i,k}e_{j,1}(m_1+n_1),\end{aligned}$$

for $i,\ j,\ k = 2, \dots, m$.

$$\big[e_{i,1}(m_1),\ e_{j,m+k}(n_1)\big] \ = \ \Big[x_i(m_1),\ \sum_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}} x_j(n_1+m_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_k(m_2)}\Big] = 0,$$

for $i,\ j = 2, \dots, m$ and $k = 1, \dots, n$.

$$\begin{aligned}\big[e_{i,1}(m_1),\ e_{m+j,k}(n_1)\big] \ =& \Big[x_i(m_1),\ \sum_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}} y_j(n_1+m_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k(m_2)}\Big]\\ =& -\sum_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}} y_j(n_1+m_2)\Big[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k(m_2)},x_i(m_1)\Big]\\ =& -\sum_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}} \delta_{i,k}\delta_{m_1,m_2}y_j(n_1+m_2)\\ =& -\delta_{i,k}e_{m+j,1}(m_1+n_1),\end{aligned}$$

for $i,\ k = 2, \dots, m$ and $j = 1, \dots, n$.

$$\big[e_{i,1}(m_1),\ e_{m+j,m+k}(n_1)\big] \ = \Big[x_i(m_1),\ \sum_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}} y_j(n_1+m_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_k(m_2)}\Big] = 0,$$

for $i = 2, \dots, m$ and $j,\ k = 1, \dots, n$.

$$\big\{e_{m+i,1}(m_1),\ e_{m+j,1}(n_1)\big\}_+ \ = \ \big\{y_i(m_1),\ y_j(n_1)\big\}_+ \ = \ 0,$$

for $i,\ j = 1, \dots, n$.

$$\big[e_{m+i,1}(m_1),\ e_{j,k}(n_1)\big] \ = \Big[y_i(m_1),\ \sum_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}} x_j(n_1+m_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k(m_2)}\Big] = 0,$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $j,\ k = 2, \dots, m$.

$$\begin{aligned}\big\{e_{m+i,1}(m_1),\ e_{j,m+k}(n_1)\big\}_+ \ =& \Big\{y_i(m_1),\ \sum_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}} x_j(n_1+m_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_k(m_2)}\Big\}_+\\ =& \sum_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}} x_j(n_1+m_2)\Big\{y_i(m_1),\frac{\partial}{\partial y_k(m_2)}\Big\}_+\\ =& \sum_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}} \delta_{i,k}\delta_{m_1,m_2}x_j(n_1+m_2)\\ =& \delta_{i,k}e_{j,1}(m_1+n_1),\end{aligned}$$

for $i,\, k = 1, \dots, n$ and $j = 2, \dots, m$.

$$\big\{e_{m+i,1}(m_1),\ e_{m+j,k}(n_1)\big\}_+ \ = \ \bigg\{y_i(m_1),\ \sum_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}} y_j(n_1+m_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k(m_2)}\bigg\}_+ = 0,$$

for $i,\, j = 1, \dots, n$ and $k = 2, \dots, m$.

$$\begin{aligned}\big[e_{m+i,1}(m_1),\ e_{m+j,m+k}(n_1)\big] \ =& \bigg[y_i(m_1),\ \sum_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}} y_j(n_1+m_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_k(m_2)}\bigg]\\ =& -\sum_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}} y_j(n_1+m_2)\bigg\{y_i(m_1), \frac{\partial}{\partial y_k(m_2)}\bigg\}_+\\ =& -\sum_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}} \delta_{i,k}\delta_{m_1,m_2} y_j(n_1+m_2)\\ =& -\delta_{i,k}e_{j,1}(m_1+n_1),\end{aligned}$$

for $i,\, j,\, k = 1, \dots, n$.

$$\begin{aligned}\big[e_{i,j}(m_1),\ e_{k,l}(n_1)\big] =& \sum_{m_2,n_2\in\mathbb{Z}} \bigg[x_i(m_1+m_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j(m_2)}, x_k(n_1+n_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_l(n_2)}\bigg]\\ =& \sum_{m_2,n_2\in\mathbb{Z}} \bigg(x_i(m_1+m_2)\bigg[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j(m_2)}, x_k(n_1+n_2)\bigg]\frac{\partial}{\partial x_l(n_2)}\\ & - x_k(n_1+n_2)\bigg[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_l(n_2)}, x_i(m_1+m_2)\bigg]\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j(m_2)}\bigg)\\ =& \delta_{j,k}e_{i,l}(m_1+n_1) - \delta_{l,i}e_{k,j}(m_1+n_1),\end{aligned}$$

for $i,\, j,\, k,\, l = 2, \dots, m$.

$$\begin{aligned}\big[e_{i,j}(m_1),\ e_{k,m+l}(n_1)\big] =& \sum_{m_2,n_2\in\mathbb{Z}} \bigg[x_i(m_1+m_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j(m_2)}, x_k(n_1+n_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_l(n_2)}\bigg]\\ =& \sum_{m_2,n_2\in\mathbb{Z}} x_i(m_1+m_2)\bigg[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j(m_2)}, x_k(n_1+n_2)\bigg]\frac{\partial}{\partial y_l(n_2)}\\ =& \delta_{j,k}e_{i,m+r}(m_1+n_1),\end{aligned}$$

for $i,\, j,\, k = 2, \dots, m$ and $l = 1, \dots, n$.

$$\begin{aligned}\big[e_{i,j}(m_1),\ e_{m+k,l}(n_1)\big] =& \sum_{m_2,n_2\in\mathbb{Z}} \bigg[x_i(m_1+m_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j(m_2)}, y_k(n_1+n_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_l(n_2)}\bigg]\\ =& -\sum_{m_2,n_2\in\mathbb{Z}} y_k(n_1+n_2)\bigg[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_l(n_2)}, x_i(m_1+m_2)\bigg]\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j(m_2)}\\ =& -\delta_{i,l}e_{m+k,j}(m_1+n_1),\end{aligned}$$

for $i,\, j,\, l = 2, \dots, m$ and $k = 1, \dots, n$.

$$\big[e_{i,j}(m_1),\ e_{m+k,m+l}(n_1)\big] = \sum_{m_2,n_2\in\mathbb{Z}} \bigg[x_i(m_1+m_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j(m_2)}, y_k(n_1+n_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_l(n_2)}\bigg] = 0,$$

for $i,\, j = 2, \dots, m$ and $k,\, l = 1, \dots, n$.

$$\big\{e_{i,m+j}(m_1),\ e_{k,m+l}(n_1)\big\}_+ = \sum_{m_2,n_2\in\mathbb{Z}} \bigg\{x_i(m_1+m_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j(m_2)}, x_k(n_1+n_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_l(n_2)}\bigg\} = 0,$$

for $i,\ k=2,\dots,m$ and $j,\ l=1,\dots,n$.

$$\begin{aligned}\big\{e_{i,m+j}(m_1),\ e_{m+k,l}(n_1)\big\}_+ =& \sum_{m_2,n_2\in\mathbb{Z}}\left\{x_i(m_1+m_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j(m_2)}, y_k(n_1+n_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_l(n_2)}\right\}\\ =& \sum_{m_2,n_2\in\mathbb{Z}}\Bigg(x_i(m_1+m_2)\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j(m_2)}, y_k(n_1+n_2)\right\}_+\frac{\partial}{\partial x_l(n_2)}\\ &+ y_k(n_1+n_2)\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_l(n_2)}, x_i(m_1+m_2)\right]\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j(m_2)}\Bigg)\\ =&\delta_{j,k}e_{i,l}(m_1+n_1)+\delta_{l,i}e_{m+k,m+j}(m_1+n_1),\end{aligned}$$

for $i,\ r=l,\dots,m$ and $k,\ j=1,\dots,n$.

$$\begin{aligned}\big[e_{i,m+j}(m_1),\ e_{m+k,m+l}(n_1)\big] =& \sum_{m_2,n_2\in\mathbb{Z}}\left[x_i(m_1+m_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j(m_2)}, y_k(n_1+n_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_l(n_2)}\right]\\ =& \sum_{m_2,n_2\in\mathbb{Z}}x_i(m_1+m_2)\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j(m_2)}, y_k(n_1+n_2)\right\}_+\frac{\partial}{\partial y_l(n_2)}\\ =&\delta_{j,k}e_{i,m+l}(m_1+n_1),\end{aligned}$$

for $i,=2,\dots,m$ and $j,\ k,\ l=1,\dots,n$.

$$\big\{e_{m+i,j}(m_1),\ e_{m+k,l}(n_1)\big\}_+ = \sum_{m_2,n_2\in\mathbb{Z}}\left\{y_i(m_1+m_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j(m_2)}, y_k(n_1+n_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_l(n_2)}\right\}=0,$$

for $i,\ k=1,\dots,n$ and $j,\ l=2,\dots,m$.

$$\begin{aligned}\big[e_{m+i,j}(m_1),\ e_{m+k,m+l}(n_1)\big] =& \sum_{m_2,n_2\in\mathbb{Z}}\left[y_i(m_1+m_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j(m_2)}, y_k(n_1+n_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_l(n_2)}\right]\\ =& -\sum_{m_2,n_2\in\mathbb{Z}}y_k(n_1+n_2)\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial y_l(n_2)}, y_i(m_1+m_2)\right\}_+\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j(m_2)}\\ =& -\delta_{i,l}e_{m+k,j}(m_1+n_1),\end{aligned}$$

for $i,\ k,\ l=1,\dots,n$ and $j=2,\dots,m$.

$$\begin{aligned}\big[e_{m+i,m+j}(m_1),\ e_{m+k,m+l}(n_1)\big] =& \sum_{m_2,n_2\in\mathbb{Z}}\left[y_i(m_1+m_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j(m_2)}, y_k(n_1+n_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_l(n_2)}\right]\\ =& \sum_{m_2,n_2\in\mathbb{Z}}\Bigg(y_i(m_1+m_2)\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j(m_2)}, y_k(n_1+n_2)\right\}_+\frac{\partial}{\partial y_l(n_2)}\\ &- y_k(n_1+n_2)\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial y_l(n_2)}, y_i(m_1+m_2)\right\}_+\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j(m_2)}\Bigg)\\ =&\delta_{j,k}e_{m+i,m+l}(m_1+n_1)-\delta_{l,i}e_{m+k,m+j}(m_1+n_1),\end{aligned}$$

for $i,\ j,\ k,\ l=1,\dots,n$. □

We now define the following linear operator on $\widehat{W}$:

$$D=\sum_{i=2}^{m}\sum_{m_1\in\mathbb{Z}}m_1x_i(m_1)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i(m_1)}+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\sum_{m_1\in\mathbb{Z}}m_1y_j(m_1)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j(m_1)} \tag{3.2}$$

Corollary 3.2. There is a Lie superalgebra homomorphism

$$\psi : \widehat{\mathfrak{gl}_{m|n}}(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\widehat{W})$$

given by

$$\psi\big(E_{i,j} \otimes t^{m_1}\big) \;=\; e_{i,j}(m_1), \quad \psi(K) = 0, \quad \psi(d) = D$$

where $i, j = 1, \ldots, m+n$. Thus, $\widehat{W}$ is also a module over the Lie superalgebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}_{m|n}}(\mathbb{C})$.

Proof. By Theorem 3.1, it suffices to show

$$[D, e_{i,j}(m_1)] = m_1 e_{i,j}(m_1).$$

First we have

$$[D, ab] = [D, a]b + a[D, b]$$

for elements $D, a, b \in \mathfrak{gl}(\widehat{W})$ with D even. Now we have the following relations

$$\begin{aligned}
\big[D, x_j(m_1)\big] &= \sum_{i=2}^{m} \sum_{m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} m_2 \left[x_i(m_2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i(m_2)}, x_j(m_1) \right] + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} m_2 \left[y_i(m_2) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i(m_2)}, x_j(m_1) \right] \\
&= \sum_{i=2}^{m} \sum_{m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} m_2 x_i(m_2) \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i(m_2)}, x_j(m_1) \right] \\
&= \sum_{i=2}^{m} \sum_{m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} m_2 x_i(m_2) \delta_{i,j} \delta_{m_1, m_2} \\
&= m_1 x_j(m_1)
\end{aligned}$$

for $j = 2, \ldots, m$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\big[D, y_j(m_1)\big] &= \sum_{i=2}^{m} \sum_{m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} m_2 \left[x_i(m_2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i(m_2)}, y_j(m_1) \right] + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} m_2 \left[y_i(m_2) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i(m_2)}, y_j(m_1) \right] \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} m_2 y_i(m_2) \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i(m_2)}, y_j(m_1) \right\}_+ \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} m_2 y_i(m_2) \delta_{i,j} \delta_{m_1, m_2} \\
&= m_1 y_j(m_1)
\end{aligned}$$

for $j = 1, \ldots, n$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\left[D, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j(m_1)} \right] &= \sum_{i=2}^{m} \sum_{m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} m_2 \left[x_i(m_2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i(m_2)}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j(m_1)} \right] + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} m_2 \left[y_i(m_2) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i(m_2)}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j(m_1)} \right] \\
&= -\sum_{i=2}^{m} \sum_{m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} m_2 \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j(m_1)}, x_i(m_2) \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i(m_2)} \\
&= -\sum_{i=2}^{m} \sum_{m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} m_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i(m_2)} \delta_{i,j} \delta_{m_1, m_2} \\
&= -m_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j(m_1)}
\end{aligned}$$

for $j = 2, \dots, m$.

$$\begin{aligned}\Big[D, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j(m_1)}\Big] &= \sum_{i=2}^{m}\sum_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}} m_2\Big[x_i(m_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i(m_2)}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j(m_1)}\Big] + \sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}} m_2\Big[y_i(m_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i(m_2)}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j(m_1)}\Big]\\ &= -\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}} m_2\Big\{\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j(m_1)}, y_i(m_2)\Big\}_+ \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i(m_2)}\\ &= -\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}} m_2\delta_{i,j}\delta_{m_1,m_2}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i(m_2)}\\ &= -m_1\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j(m_1)}\end{aligned}$$

for $j = 1, \dots, n$.

Whence, for i, $j = 2, \dots, m$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}[D, e_{i,j}(m_1)] &= \sum_{m_2\in\mathbb{Z}}\Big([D,\ x_i(m_1+m_2)]\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j(m_2)} + x_i(m_1+m_2)\Big[D,\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j(m_2)}\Big]\Big)\\ &= (m_1+m_2)e_{i,j}(m_1) - m_2 e_{i,j}(m_1)\\ &= m_1 e_{i,j}(m_1)\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we could show that

$$[D, e_{i,j}(m_1)] = m_1 e_{i,j}(m_1)$$

for i, $j = 1, \dots, m+n$. Now the proof is completed. □

In the following, for convenience we denote $x_{m+k}(m_1) = y_k(m_1)$ for $k = 1, \dots, n$ and $m_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$. It is clear that $\widehat{W} = U(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}_{m|n}}(\mathbb{C}))$.1, and

$$\begin{aligned}&e_{1,1}(0)\Big(x_2(m_{2,1})\dots x_2(m_{2,k_2})\dots x_{m+n}(m_{m+n,1})\dots x_{m+n}(m_{m+n,k_{m+n}})\Big)\\ =&(\mu - k_2 - \dots - k_{m+n})x_2(m_{2,1})\dots x_2(m_{2,k_2})\dots x_{m+n}(m_{m+n,1})\dots x_{m+n}(m_{m+n,k_{m+n}})\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&e_{i,i}(0)\Big(x_2(m_{2,1})\dots x_2(m_{2,k_2})\dots x_{m+n}(m_{m+n,1})\dots x_{m+n}(m_{m+n,k_{m+n}})\Big)\\ =&k_i x_2(m_{2,1})\dots x_2(m_{2,k_2})\dots x_{m+n}(m_{m+n,1})\dots x_{m+n}(m_{m+n,k_{m+n}}).\end{aligned}$$

for $i = 2 \dots, m+n$. For the derivation D, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&D\Big(x_2(m_{2,1})\dots x_2(m_{2,k_2})\dots x_{m+n}(m_{m+n,1})\dots x_{m+n}(m_{m+n,k_{m+n}})\Big)\\ =&\Big(\sum_{i=2}^{m+n}\sum_{j=1}^{k_i} m_{i,j}\Big)x_2(m_{2,1})\dots x_2(m_{2,k_2})\dots x_{m+n}(m_{m+n,1})\dots x_{m+n}(m_{m+n,k_{m+n}})\end{aligned}$$

In the following, the weight $\lambda \in H^*$ will be denoted as

$$\Big(\lambda\big(E_{1,1}(0)\big), \dots, \lambda\big(E_{m+n,m+n}(0)\big), 0, \lambda(d)\Big).$$

Theorem 3.3. If $\mu \neq 0$, then $\widehat{W}$ is an infinite-dimensional irreducible $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}_{m|n}}(\mathbb{C})$-module.

Proof. We split the proof into three cases: $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}_{m|0}}(\mathbb{C}) = \widehat{\mathfrak{gl}_m}(\mathbb{C})$, $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}_{1|n}}(\mathbb{C})$ and $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}_{m|n}}(\mathbb{C})$ $(m \geq 2)$. The first case was proved by Gao and Zeng in [GZ2]. For second case, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&e_{1,j}(r)\Big(x_2(m_{2,1})\cdots x_2(m_{2,k_2})x_3(m_{3,1})\cdots x_3(m_{3,k_3})\cdots x_{n+1}(m_{n+1,1})\cdots x_{n+1}(m_{n+1,k_{n+1}})\Big)\\
&\quad=\sum_{i=1}^{k_2}(-1)^{i-1}e_{2,j}(r+m_{2,i})e_{2,1}(m_{2,1})\cdots\widehat{e_{2,1}(m_{2,i})}\cdots e_{2,1}(m_{2,k_2})\cdots e_{n+1,1}(m_{n+1,1})\cdots e_{n,1}(m_{n+1,k_{n+1}}).1\\
&\qquad+(-1)^{k_2}e_{2,1}(m_{2,1})\cdots e_{2,1}(m_{2,k_2})e_{1,j}(r)e_{3,1}(m_{3,1})\cdots e_{3,1}(m_{3,k_3})\cdots e_{n+1,1}(m_{n+1,k_{n+1}}).1\\
&\quad=\cdots\cdots\\
&\quad=\sum_{l=2}^{j-1}\sum_{i=1}^{k_l}(-1)^{\sum_{s=2}^{l-1}k_s+i-1}e_{l,j}(r+m_{l,i})e_{2,1}(m_{2,1})\cdots\widehat{e_{l,1}(m_{l,i})}\cdots e_{n+1,1}(m_{n+1,1})\cdots e_{n+1,1}(m_{n+1,k_{n+1}}).1\\
&\qquad+(-1)^{\sum_{i=2}^{j-1}k_i}e_{2,1}(m_{2,1})\cdots e_{2,1}(m_{2,k_2})\cdots e_{1,j}(r)e_{j,1}(m_{j,1})\cdots e_{j,1}(m_{j,k_j})\cdots e_{n+1,1}(m_{n+1,k_{n+1}}).1\\
&\quad=\sum_{l=2}^{j-1}\sum_{i=1}^{k_l}(-1)^{\sum_{s=2}^{l-1}k_s+i-1}\Big(e_{2,1}(m_{2,1})\cdots\widehat{e_{l,1}(m_{l,i})}\cdots e_{n+1,1}(m_{n+1,1})\cdots e_{n+1,1}(m_{n+1,k_{n+1}})e_{l,j}(r+m_{l,i}).1\\
&\qquad+\sum_{k=1}^{k_j}e_{2,1}(m_{2,1})\cdots\widehat{e_{l,1}(m_{l,i})}\cdots\widehat{e_{j,1}(m_{j,k})}e_{l,1}(r+m_{l,i}+m_{j,k})\cdots e_{n+1,1}(m_{n+1,k_{n+1}}).1\Big)\\
&\qquad+(-1)^{\sum_{i=2}^{j-1}k_i}\sum_{k=1}^{k_j}(-1)^{k-1}e_{2,1}(m_{2,1})\cdots\widehat{e_{j,1}(m_{j,k})}(e_{1,1}+e_{j,j})(r+m_{j,k})\cdots e_{n+1,1}(m_{n+1,k_{n+1}}).1\\
&\qquad+(-1)^{\sum_{i=2}^{j}k_i}e_{2,1}(m_{2,1})\cdot\cdot e_{j,1}(m_{j,1})\cdots e_{j,1}(m_{j,k_j})e_{1,j}(r)e_{j+1,1}(m_{j+1,1})\cdots e_{n+1,1}(m_{n+1,k_{n+1}}).1\\
&\quad=\sum_{l=2}^{j-1}\sum_{i=1}^{k_l}\sum_{k=1}^{k_j}(-1)^{\sum_{s=2}^{l-1}k_s+i-1}e_{2,1}(m_{2,1})\cdots\widehat{e_{l,1}(m_{l,i})}\cdots\widehat{e_{j,1}(m_{j,k})}e_{l,1}(r+m_{l,i}+m_{j,k})\cdots.1\\
&\qquad-(-1)^{\sum_{i=2}^{j-1}k_i}\sum_{k=1}^{k_j}(-1)^{k}e_{2,1}(m_{2,1})\cdots\widehat{e_{j,1}(m_{j,k})}\cdots(e_{1,1}+e_{j,j})(r+m_{j,k})e_{j+1,1}(m_{j+1,1})\cdots.1\\
&\qquad+\sum_{l=j+1}^{n+1}\sum_{i=1}^{k_l}(-1)^{\sum_{s=2}^{l-1}k_s+i-1}e_{2,1}(m_{2,1})\cdots\widehat{e_{l,1}(m_{l,i})}\cdots\cdots e_{n+1,1}(m_{n+1,k_{n+1}})e_{l,j}(r+m_{l,i}).1\\
&\qquad+(-1)^{\sum_{i=2}^{n+1}k_i}e_{2,1}(m_{2,1})\cdots e_{2,1}(m_{2,k_2})\cdots\cdots e_{n+1,1}(m_{n+1,1})\cdots e_{n+1,1}(m_{n+1,k_{n+1}})e_{1,j}(r).1\\
&\quad=\sum_{l=2}^{j-1}\sum_{i=1}^{k_l}\sum_{k=1}^{k_j}(-1)^{\sum_{s=2}^{l-1}k_s+i-1}e_{2,1}(m_{2,1})\cdots\widehat{e_{l,1}(m_{l,i})}\cdots\widehat{e_{j,1}(m_{j,k})}e_{l,1}(r+m_{l,i}+m_{j,k})\cdots.1\\
&\qquad+(-1)^{\sum_{i=2}^{j-1}k_i}\sum_{l=j+1}^{n}\sum_{k=1}^{k_j}\sum_{i=1}^{k_l}(-1)^{k}e_{2,1}(m_{2,1})\cdots\widehat{e_{j,1}(m_{j,k})}\cdots\widehat{e_{l,1}(m_{l,i})}e_{l,1}(r+m_{l,i}+m_{j,k})\cdots.1\\
&\qquad-(-1)^{\sum_{i=2}^{j-1}k_i}\sum_{k=1}^{k_j}(-1)^{k}e_{2,1}(m_{2,1})\cdots\widehat{e_{j,1}(m_{j,k})}\cdots e_{n+1,1}(m_{n+1,k_{n+1}})(e_{1,1}+e_{j,j})(r+m_{j,k}).1\\
&\quad=\sum_{l=2}^{j-1}\sum_{i=1}^{k_l}\sum_{k=1}^{k_j}(-1)^{\sum_{s=2}^{l-1}k_s+i-1}e_{2,1}(m_{2,1})\cdots\widehat{e_{l,1}(m_{l,i})}\cdots\widehat{e_{j,1}(m_{j,k})}e_{l,1}(r+m_{l,i}+m_{j,k})\cdots.1\\
&\qquad+(-1)^{\sum_{i=2}^{j-1}k_i}\sum_{l=j+1}^{n+1}\sum_{k=1}^{k_j}\sum_{i=1}^{k_l}(-1)^{k}e_{2,1}(m_{2,1})\cdots\widehat{e_{j,1}(m_{j,k})}\cdots\widehat{e_{l,1}(m_{l,i})}e_{l,1}(r+m_{l,i}+m_{j,k})\cdots.1
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-(-1)^{\sum_{i=2}^{j-1}k_i}\mu\sum_{k=1}^{k_j}\delta_{r+m_{j,k},0}(-1)^k e_{2,1}(m_{2,1})\cdots\widehat{e_{j,1}(m_{j,k})}\cdots e_{n+1,1}(m_{n+1,1})\cdots e_{n+1,1}(m_{n+1,k_{n+1}}).1\\
=&A_j(r)+B_j(r)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_j(r)=&-(-1)^{\sum_{i=2}^{j-1}k_i}\mu\sum_{k=1}^{k_j}\delta_{r+m_{j,k},0}(-1)^k e_{2,1}(m_{2,1})\cdots\widehat{e_{j,1}(m_{j,k})}\cdots e_{n+1,1}(m_{n+1,1})\cdots e_{n+1,1}(m_{n+1,k_{n+1}}).1\,,\\
B_j(r)=&\sum_{l=2}^{j-1}\sum_{i=1}^{k_l}\sum_{k=1}^{k_j}(-1)^{\sum_{s=2}^{l-1}k_s+i-1}e_{2,1}(m_{2,1})\cdots\widehat{e_{l,1}(m_{l,i})}\cdots\widehat{e_{j,1}(m_{j,k})}e_{l,1}(r+m_{l,i}+m_{j,k})\cdots.1\\
&+(-1)^{\sum_{i=2}^{j-1}k_i}\sum_{l=j+1}^{n+1}\sum_{k=1}^{k_j}\sum_{i=1}^{k_l}(-1)^k e_{2,1}(m_{2,1})\cdots\widehat{e_{j,1}(m_{j,k})}\cdots\widehat{e_{l,1}(m_{l,i})}e_{l,1}(r+m_{l,i}+m_{j,k})\cdots.1.
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $Y=Y_0+\sum_{\alpha\in I}Y_\alpha e_{2,1}(m^\alpha_{2,1})\cdots e_{2,1}(m^\alpha_{2,k_{2,\alpha}})\cdots e_{n+1,1}(m^\alpha_{n+1,1})\cdots e_{n+1,1}(m^\alpha_{n+1,k_{n+1,\alpha}})$ be a singular vector of a submodule U of $\widehat{W}$, where $k_\alpha=\sum_{i=2}^{n+1}k_{i,\alpha}\geq 1$, $Y_0\in\mathbb{C}$ and $m^\alpha_{l,1}<\cdots<m^\alpha_{l,k_\alpha}$, then $e_{1,j}(r)Y=0$, for all $2\leq j\leq n+1$ and $r\in\mathbb{Z}$. First of all, for r big enough, we have

$$
0=e_{1,j}(r)Y=\sum_{\alpha\in I}Y_\alpha A^\alpha_j(r)+\sum_{\alpha\in I}Y_\alpha B^\alpha_j(r)=\sum_{\alpha\in I}Y_\alpha B^\alpha_j(r)\,.
$$

From this relation, we can easily see that, for all $r\in\mathbb{Z}$, $\sum_{\alpha\in I}Y_\alpha B^\alpha_j(r)=0$. Therefore

$$
\sum_{\alpha\in I}Y_\alpha A^\alpha_j(r)=0\,,\forall\, r\in\mathbb{Z},\,2\leq j\leq n+1\,.
$$

Especially, $\sum_{\alpha\in I}Y_\alpha A^\alpha_j(-m^\beta_{j,i})=0$, for all $i,j\in\mathbb{Z}$ and $\beta\in I$, i.e.,

$$
\mu\sum_{\alpha\in I}Y_\alpha\#\{l\,|\,m^\alpha_{j,l}=m^\beta_{j,i}\}e_{2,1}(m^\alpha_{2,k_{2,\alpha}})\cdots\widehat{e_{j,1}(m^\beta_{j,i})}\cdots e_{n+1,1}(m^\alpha_{n+1,k_{n+1,\alpha}})=0.
$$

Since all nonzero elements $e_{2,1}(m^\alpha_{2,k_{2,\alpha}})\cdots\widehat{e_{j,1}(m^\beta_{j,i})}\cdots e_{n+1,1}(m^\alpha_{n+1,k_{n+1,\alpha}})$ are linear independent and $k_\alpha\geq 1$, we have that $\mu\neq 0$ implies $Y_\alpha=0$ for all $\alpha\in I$. Thus $Y=Y_0\in\mathbb{C}^*$, i.e., any singular vector of U is a nonzero scalar. Therefore, if $\mu\neq 0$, then $\widehat{W}$ is an irreducible $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}_{1|n}}(\mathbb{C})$-module.

For the last one, let $\succ$ be the **lexicographical total order** on $\mathbb{Z}^s$ for any $s\in\mathbb{Z}_+$, that is, for $\mathbf{a},\,\mathbf{b}\in\mathbb{Z}^s$

$$
\mathbf{a}\succ\mathbf{b}\Leftrightarrow\ \text{there exists } j\in\mathbb{N}\text{ such that }(a_i=b_i,\forall 1\leq i<j\leq s)\text{ and }a_j>b_j
$$

Any element in $\widehat{W}$ could be expressed in the following form

$$
\begin{aligned}
v=&\sum_{\alpha\in I}A_\alpha x_2(m^\alpha_{2,1})\cdots x_2(m^\alpha_{2,k_2})\cdots x_m(m^\alpha_{m,1})\cdots x_m(m^\alpha_{m,k_m})\\
&x_{m+1}(m^\alpha_{m+1,1})\cdots x_{m+1}(m^\alpha_{m+1,k_{m+1}})\cdots x_{m+n}(m^\alpha_{m+n,1})\cdots x_{m+n}(m^\alpha_{m+n,k_{m+n}})\\
=&\sum_{\alpha\in I'}x_{m+1}(m^\alpha_{m+1,1})\cdots x_{m+1}(m^\alpha_{m+1,k_{m+1}})Y_\alpha
\end{aligned}
$$

where I' is a subset of the finite index set I and Y_α is a nonzero element without terms involving $x_{m+1}(*)$ for any $\alpha\in I'$. Moreover, we may assume that

$$
m^\alpha_{m+1,1}>m^\alpha_{m+1,2}>\ldots>m^\alpha_{m+1,k_{m+1}}.
$$

Take a set of big enough integers $b_{m+1,1} > b_{m+1,2} > \ldots > b_{m+1,k_{m+1}}$, then we have

$$e_{2,m+1}(b_{m+1,k_{m+1}}) \ldots e_{2,m+1}(b_{m+1,1})v = x_2(m^{\beta}_{m+1,1} + b_{m+1,1}) \cdots x_2(m^{\beta}_{m+1,k_{m+1}} + b_{m+1,k_{m+1}})Y_{\beta} + Y$$

where $\beta \in I'$ is the maximal element under the lexicographical total order of $\mathbb{Z}^{k_{m+1}}$. Since, by the choice of $b_{m+1,i}$, we know Y and $x_2(m^{\beta}_{m+1,1} + b_{m+1,1}) \cdots x_2(m^{\beta}_{m+1,k_{m+1}} + b_{m+1,k_{m+1}})Y_{\beta}$ are linearly independent, hence v could not be a singular vector of $\widehat{W}$ unless $k_{m+1} = 0$. Inductively, we can reduce the last case to the case of $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}_{m|0}}(\mathbb{C})$. The proof is therefore completed. □

References

[BE] G. Benkart, A. Elduque, Lie superalgebras graded by the root system $A(m, n)$, *J. Lie Theory* **13** (2003) 387–400.

[BGK] S. Berman, Y. Gao, Y. Krylyuk, Quantum tori and the structure of elliptic quasi-simple Lie algebras, *J. Funct. Anal.* **135** (1996) 339–389.

[BCG] S.Bhargava, H. Chen, Y. Gao, A family of representations of the Lie superalgebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}_{1|l-1}}(\mathbb{C}_q)$, *J. Algebra.* **386** (2013) 61–76.

[CGS] H. Chen, Y. Gao, S. Shang, $B(0, N)$-graded Lie superalgebras coordinatized by quantum tori, *Sci. China Ser. A* **49** (2006) 1740–1752.

[CW] S.-J. Cheng, W. Wang, Dualities and representations of Lie superalgebras, *Graduate Studies in Mathematics* **144** American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2012.

[CS] H. Crystal, R. Shifra, Simplicity of vacuum modules over affine Lie superalgebras. *J. Algebra* **321** (2009) no. 10, 2861–2874.

[EFK] P. Etingof, I. Frenkel, A. Kirillov, Lectures on Representation Theory and Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov Equations, *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs* **58** American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998. xiv+198 pp.

[FF] B. Feigin, E. Frenkel, Affine Kac-Moody algebras and semi-infinite flag manifolds, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **128** (1990) 161–189.

[FN] A. Frolicher, A. Nijenhuis, Theory of vector-valued differential forms I: Derivations of the graded ring of differential forms, *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A.* **59** (= *Indag. Math.* **18**) (1956) 338–359.

[GZ1] Y. Gao, Z. Zeng, Hermitian representations of the extended affine Lie algebra $\widetilde{\mathfrak{gl}_2(\mathbb{C}_q)}$, *Adv. Math.* **207** (2006) 244–265.

[GZ2] Y. Gao, Z. Zeng, Irreducible Wakimoto-like modules for the affine Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}_n}$. Quantized algebra and physics, 52–67, *Nankai Ser. Pure Appl. Math. Theoret. Phys.*, **8**, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2012.

[K] V.G. Kac, Lie superalgebras, *Adv. Math.* **26** (1977) 8–96.

[M] Y. I. Manin, Topics in Noncommutative Geometry, *M. B. Porter Lectures* Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1991. viii+164 pp.

[RZ] S.E. Rao, K. Zhao, On integrable representations for toroidal Lie superalgebras, *Contemp.Math.* **343** (2004), 243–261.

[S] V. Serganova, Kac-Moody superalgebras and integrability. *Developments and trends in infinite-dimensional Lie theory* **288** (2011) 169–218.

[W1] M. Wakimoto, Extended affine Lie algebras and a certain series of Hermitian representations, Preprint, 1985.

[W2] M. Wakimoto, Fock representations of the affine Lie algebra $A_1^{(1)}$, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **104** (1986) 605–609.

[WZ] Y. Wu, R. Zhang, Integrable representations of affine $A(m,n)$ and $C(m)$ superalgebras. *arXiv: 1309:1533.*

[Z1] Z. Zeng, Unitary representations of the extended affine Lie algebra $\widetilde{\mathfrak{gl}_3(\mathbb{C}_q)}$, *Pacific J. Math.* **233** (2007) 481–509.

[Z2] Z. Zeng, A Class of Irreducible Modules for the Extended Affine Lie Algebra $\widetilde{\mathfrak{gl}_l(\mathbb{C}_q)}$, *Sci. China Math.* **54** (2011) 1089–1099.